

Applicability of the Friedberg-Lee-Zhao method

Gong-Bo Zhao¹, Yi-Bing Ding², Xue-Qian Li¹, Guo-Li Wang¹ and Zhaorigetu³

1. Department of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, China.
2. Department of Physics, Graduate School, The Chinese Academy of the Sciences, Beijing 100039, China.
3. Department of Physics, Chifeng Normal College of Inner-Mongolia, 024000, China.

Friedberg, Lee and Zhao proposed a method for effectively evaluating the eigenenergies and eigen wavefunctions of quantum systems. In this work, we study several special cases to investigate applicability of the method. Concretely, we calculate the ground-state eigenenergy of the Hellmann potential as well as the Cornell potential, and also evaluate the energies of the systems where linear term is added to the Coulomb and harmonic oscillator potentials as a perturbation. The results obtained in this method have a surprising agreement with the traditional method or the numerical results. Since the results in this method have obvious analyticity compared to that in other methods, and because of the simplicity for calculations this method can be applied to solving the Schrödinger equation and provides us better understanding of the physical essence of the concerned systems. But meanwhile applications of the FLZ method are restricted at present, especially for certain potential forms, but due to its obvious advantages, it should be further developed.

I. Introduction

Recently, Friedberg, Lee and Zhao (FLZ) have proposed a method [1] which is very powerful and useful for solving the Schrödinger equation, especially for the potentials which have strong couplings.

In their works [2, 3], applicability and characteristics of the method were discussed, on both the physical and mathematical aspects.

As well known, only very few potential forms can lead to analytic solutions of the Schrödinger equation, whereas for the majority of potentials which are of phenomenological significance in real physical world, one can only expect numerical results. It reduces the ability for further analyzing the problem and getting insight into the physics essence. Therefore an almost-analytic solution would be very welcome for studying the physics behavior of the system.

In quantum mechanics, the WKB approximation is an important method to study the potential-barrier tunneling and quantization conditions for bound states. In fact, the WKB approximation is a semi-classical approximation where one can write the wavefunction ψ as $\psi(x) = \exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}\alpha(x))$ and expand $\alpha(x)$ according to orders of $\hbar$. Generally, only the first two terms are retained [4]. It is confirmed to be useful for tunneling rate evaluation, but for the bound states, it can only offer a rough estimation.

Comparing with the WKB approximation, FLZ proposed that the wavefunction can be written as

$$\phi(q) = e^{-s(q)}, \quad (1)$$

where q is a set of any coordinates. Obviously, the WKB wavefunction $\exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}\alpha(x))$ is an oscillating form which corresponds to real particles, so that more applicable to the tunneling case, whereas, the FLZ wavefunction is a damping form and more suitable for dealing with bound states.

In this work, we would like to investigate the applicability of the FLZ method in terms of a few potentials which have significance for practical physics problems. We first, in terms of the FLZ method, evaluate the eigenenergy of the ground state for the Cornell potential [5] and the Hellmann potential [6], and secondly we study applications of this method to the perturbation calculations. Concretely, we consider a linear term as a perturbation to the hamiltonians which contain either the Coulomb potential(the Cornell potential) or harmonic oscillator potential, because the first one has importance for spectra of heavy quarkonia and the second has a rigorous analytic solution.

We also employ the Dalgarno-Lewis technique [7] to obtain the corresponding perturbation results up to the third order (for the Cornell potential). Comparing the results with that

obtained in the FLZ method, one can find that they coincide with each other order by order. However, obviously the procedure of derivation in the FLZ method is much simpler.

It is noticed that the FLZ method cannot be applied to the cases for linear potential a_1q , or the $1/q^2$ potential (not for a perturbation). We will briefly discuss this issue in the last section and also concern other restrictions to applications of the method.

This paper is organized as following, after this introduction, we briefly introduce the concerned aspects of the FLZ method and in Sec.III, we discuss the Hellmann potential case and the Cornell potential case, then in Sec.IV, we apply this method to the perturbation calculations. The last section would be devoted to the conclusion and discussion.

II. Brief introduction to the FLZ method

For a completeness and convenience of readers, we briefly introduce the Friedberg-Lee-Zhao method in this section.

The Schrödinger equation can be written as

$$H\phi(q) = E\phi(q), \quad (2)$$

where the hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{-1}{2}\nabla^2 + V(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i^2}$$

and here for simplicity we take the effective mass μ to be unity. Friedberg, Lee and Zhao suggest to write the wavefunction of the ground state in the form of eq.(1) and moreover, they set

$$V(q) = g^2 v(q), \quad (3)$$

where g^2 is a scale factor and corresponds to the strength of the effective coupling, for example in the QED Coulomb case, it is Ze^2 and for the QCD Coulomb case, it is α_{eff} [5]. The advantage of pulling out the coupling parameter will be shown in our later examples.

Choosing g^2 as an expansion parameter, one can expect from the expression of eq.(1) that the larger g^2 is, the faster the expansions of $s(q)$ and E converge. Thus one can have expansions

as

$$E = g^l E_o + g^{l-i} E_1 + g^{l-2i} E_2 + \dots, \quad (4)$$

$$s(q) = g^m s_o + g^{m-j} s_1 + g^{m-2j} s_2 + \dots, \quad (5)$$

where l, m, i, j are positive integers and to be determined according to a rule [2] that under limit of strong interaction,

$$l = \frac{2k}{n+2} \quad (6)$$

where n is the power of the leading term in the potential, for example, $n = 2$, $l = k/2$ for the harmonic oscillator and $n = -1$, $l = 2k$ for the Coulomb potential. To avoid difficulties in the WKB approximations such as the matching conditions at the turning points, it is required that E_0 and v do not appear in one equation and other equations are solvable. Thus one has

$$l < k : \quad 2m = k, \quad 2m - j = l, \quad i = j, \quad (7)$$

$$l > k : \quad 2m = l, \quad 2m - j = k, \quad i = j, \quad (8)$$

where k is any positive integers which can make l to be an integer.

For example, in the simplest case, $l = m = i = j = 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla s_0)^2 &= 2v, \\ \nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 s_0 - E_0, \\ \nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^2 s_1 - (\nabla s_1)^2) - E_1, \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It is noted that in the first equation, E_0 does not appear, and only v exists, whereas in the second, only E_0 , but v is absent. So in this method, it is not needed to distinguish between $E < V$ or $E > V$ cases.

From the first equation of (9), one can obtain ∇s_0 and then substitutes it into the second equation. Here it is supposed that the potential is a function of r . For the S-states, $\nabla^2 = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr}$, thus

$$\frac{d}{dr} s_m|_{r \rightarrow 0}$$

must be zero to insure $(\nabla^2 s_{m+1})|_{r \rightarrow 0}$ finite, where m is the order index. With this condition, we obtain E_0 and ∇s_0 simultaneously from the second equation. Then we will continuously achieve E_{m-1} and ∇s_m from the m -th equation.

With the boundary condition $\phi(0) = 1$ or $s(0) = 0$, we also obtain the wavefunction up to a normalization factor.

Later we will show that this method is very powerful for evaluating the eigenenergy of the ground state.

III. Applications to the Hellmann potential and the Cornell potential

(1) The Hellmann potential is a superposition of a Coulomb piece and a Yukawa piece [6]

$$V(r) = g^2 \left(\frac{-A}{r} + \frac{B}{r} e^{-Cr} \right), \quad (10)$$

which cannot be analytically solved and here we deliberately pull out a coupling constant g^2 . In physics, the Coulomb potential usually originates from a single massless photon or gluon exchange, but the Yukawa potential is due to a massive particle exchange and can be induced by a form factor at the effective vertices. Such a potential may play an important role in phenomenology. Now let us evaluate the ground energy in terms of the FLZ method. We have the expansions for s and E similar to (4), and here $l = 4, i = j = m = 2$, then the set of equations is recast as

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla s_0)^2 &= -2E_0, \\ \nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 s_0 - \frac{A}{r} + \frac{B}{r} e^{-Cr} - E_1, \\ \nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_2 &= \frac{-1}{2} (\nabla s_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 s_1 - E_2, \\ \nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_3 &= -\nabla s_1 \cdot \nabla s_2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 s_2 - E_3, \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The general expression for the even order of $n > 1$ is

$$\nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_{2n} = - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \nabla s_m \cdot \nabla s_{2n-m} - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla s_n)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 s_{2n-1} - E_{2n}, \quad (12)$$

and for odd order, it is

$$\nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_{2n+1} = - \sum_{m=1}^n \nabla s_m \cdot \nabla s_{2n+1-m} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 s_{2n} - E_{2n+1}. \quad (13)$$

Thus we obtain

$$s_0 = \sqrt{-2E_0}r,$$

where we only keep the the positive root. The second equation is written as

$$\sqrt{-2E_0} \frac{ds_1}{dr} = \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{-2E_0} - \frac{A}{r} + \frac{B}{r} e^{-Cr} - E_1 = \frac{\sqrt{-2E_0} - A + B e^{-Cr}}{r} - E_1. \quad (14)$$

It is required that the left side of the equation and E_1 must be finite at $r = 0$, thus a natural condition

$$\sqrt{-2E_0} - A + B e^{-Cr} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0,$$

is enforced. One obtains immediately

$$E_0 = \frac{-1}{2}(A - B)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_0 = |A - B|r. \quad (15)$$

As a matter of fact, the key point of the FLZ method is to obtain the first-order eigenenergy by removing the singularity in the equation and it is similar to the secular equation for obtaining eigenenergies in quantum mechanics.

Because all $\nabla^2 s_i$ ($i=1,2,\dots$) are finite, so if we expand

$$s_i = \frac{a_{-n}^{(i)}}{r^n} + \frac{a_{-n+1}^{(i)}}{r^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{-1}^{(i)}}{r} + a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)}r + a_2^{(i)}r^2 + \dots,$$

all $a_{-m}^{(i)}$ and a_1 must vanish, thus

$$\frac{ds_m}{dr} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0, \quad (m \geq 1).$$

But s_0 is an exception which is linearly proportional to r , indeed, $\nabla^2 s_0$ is singular at $r \rightarrow 0$. It is exactly the condition to determine the value of s_0 as done in eq.(14).

As we go on with the same strategy we obtain other E'_i s and one can find that all superficial singularities in the expressions are cancelled out automatically. We write the eigen-energy of the ground state as

$$E = \frac{-1}{2}(A - B)^2 g^4 - BCg^2 + \frac{3BC^2}{4(A - B)} + O\left(\frac{1}{g^{2n}}\right), \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (16)$$

Obviously as the coupling g^2 is sufficiently large, the higher orders can be safely neglected. Moreover, one can notice that as $A = B$ it blows up and we will discuss this issue later.

To make sense, we take a set of the parameters to testify the results. We can numerically solve the Schödinger equation and obtain the numbers. Of course, we suppose that the numerical results are precise. A comparison of the numerical results with that with the FLZ method is shown in Table 1.

g	Numerical result	FLZ
1	-1.0848	-0.75
2	-11.3687	-11.25
3	-48.805	-48.750
5	-336.757	-336.750

Table 1. The comparison of the numerical results with that in terms of the FLZ method where only the first three terms in the expansion are taken. The parameters are set as $A = 2, B = C = 1$.

It is noted that as $g = 3 \sim 5$, the sum of the first three terms from the result in the FLZ method are perfectly consistent with the numerical results.

(2) The Cornell potential.

The hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{-1}{2}\nabla^2 - \frac{\alpha_{eff}}{r} + \kappa r, \quad (17)$$

for using the FLZ method let us transform it into another convenient form

$$H = \frac{-1}{2}\nabla^2 + g^2\left(\frac{-1}{r} + \kappa' r\right), \quad (18)$$

where g^2 is pulled out. The set of equations is similar to that for the Hellmann potentail as $\frac{A}{r} - \frac{B}{r}e^{-Cr}$ is replaced by $\frac{-1}{r} + \kappa r$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla s_0)^2 &= -2E_0, \\
\nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 s_0 - \frac{1}{r} + \kappa' r - E_1, \\
\nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_2 &= \frac{-1}{2}(\nabla s_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 s_1 - E_2, \\
\nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_3 &= -\nabla s_1 \cdot \nabla s_2 + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 s_2 - E_3, \\
&\dots
\end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The following procedures are exactly the same as in last subsection. We obtain

$$E = \frac{-1}{2}g^4 + \frac{3}{2}\kappa' - \frac{3}{2}\kappa'^2g^{-4} + \frac{27}{4}\kappa'^3g^{-8} + \dots \quad (20)$$

One can notice that in the hamiltonian (18), we set the mass $\mu = 1$ for simplicity. When we put back the mass, the Schrödinger equation is

$$[\frac{-1}{2\mu}\nabla^2 - \frac{g^2}{r} + \kappa r]|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle, \quad (21)$$

the equation can be re-written as

$$[\frac{-1}{2}\nabla^2 - \frac{g^2\mu}{r} + \mu\kappa r]|\psi\rangle = \mu E|\psi\rangle. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, the expansion parameter g^2 is replaced by μg^2 . Even though in the practical case, the effective coupling α_{eff} is about 0.4~0.5 being small, for heavy quakonia such as Υ , the reduced mass $\mu \sim 2.5$ GeV, and μg^2 is not too small, the method can give result which is close to the numerical result. Our numerical calculation indeed shows that for the $b\bar{b}$ quakonium Υ , the FLZ method works very well, but for the $c\bar{c}$ system J/ψ where $\mu \sim 0.75$ GeV, the method fails to give a reasonable solution.

Now let us turn to the perturbation cases.

IV. Perturbation in the FLZ method

(1) First we discuss a very simple case where a linear term is attached to the harmonic oscillator as a perturbation, the hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}g^2x^2 + ax, \quad (23)$$

for simplicity, here we still take the mass $\mu = 1$. The accurate ground state energy is $E = \frac{1}{2}g - \frac{1}{2}\frac{a^2}{g^2}$. Thus we would use this result to testify the applications of the FLZ method for dealing with a perturbation and in this situation a is the expansion parameter. According to the general rule and eq.(9), we have

$$(-\frac{d}{dx}s_0)^2 + x^2 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2}{dx^2}s_0 - 2\frac{ds_0}{dx}\frac{ds_1}{dx} &= 2E_0, \\
\frac{d^2s_1}{dx^2} - \left(\frac{ds_1}{dx}\right)^2 - 2\frac{ds_0}{dx}\frac{ds_2}{dx} + 2ax &= 2E_1, \\
\frac{d^2s_2}{dx^2} - 2\frac{ds_0}{dx}\frac{ds_3}{dx} - 2\frac{ds_1}{dx}\frac{ds_2}{dx} &= 2E_2, \\
..... &
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

From the first and the second equations,

$$2E_0 = 1 - 2x\frac{ds_1}{dx},$$

setting $x = 0$, we obtain $E_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{ds_1}{dx} = 0$. As we go on calculating, we can achieve

$$E_1 = 0, \quad E_2 = 0, \quad E_3 = \frac{-1}{2}a^2, \quad \text{and} \quad E_4 = E_5 = \dots = 0.$$

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{2}x^2, \quad s_1 = 0, \quad s_2 = ax, \dots$$

So finally,

$$s = \frac{1}{2}gx^2 + \frac{1}{2g}ax, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \phi = N\exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}gx^2 - \frac{1}{2g}ax\right),$$

$$E = \frac{1}{2}g - \frac{1}{2}\frac{a^2}{g^2}.$$

This energy is precisely the same as the exact solution.

(2) The Coulomb potential with a linear term as the perturbation.

In this subsection, we treat the linear term κr as a perturbation to the Coulomb piece. The hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{-1}{2}\nabla^2 - \frac{g^2}{r} + \kappa r. \tag{25}$$

The difference between eq.(25) with eq.(17) is the position of the coupling g^2 . Thus we have a set of equations as

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla s_0)^2 &= -2E_0, \\
\nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 s_0 - \frac{1}{r} - E_1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_2 &= \frac{-1}{2}(\nabla s_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 s_1 + \kappa r - E_2, \\
\nabla s_0 \cdot \nabla s_3 &= -\nabla s_1 \cdot \nabla s_2 + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 s_2 - E_3, \\
&\dots
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

again, the difference of the set from the set (19) is the position of κr which appears in the third equation, but in the set (19), it exists in the second equation. Solving the equations according the normal procedure, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_0 &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad E_1 = E_2 = 0, \quad E_3 = \frac{3}{2}\kappa, \quad E_4 = E_5 = 0, \quad E_6 = \frac{-3}{2}\kappa^2, \quad E_7 = E_8 = 0, \quad E_9 = \frac{27}{4}\kappa^3, \dots \\
s_1 &= r, \quad s_1 = 0, \quad s_2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa r^2, \quad s_3 = 0, \quad s_4 = \frac{-1}{6\kappa^3}, \quad s_5 = \frac{-1}{2\kappa^2}r^2, \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the energy of the ground state is

$$E = \frac{-1}{2}g^4 + \frac{3}{2}\kappa g^{-2} - \frac{3}{2}\kappa^2 g^{-8} + \frac{27}{4}\kappa^3 g^{-14} + O(\kappa^4 g^{-20}) + \dots \tag{27}$$

If we set $\kappa = \kappa' g^2$, we recover the expression (20). The first term (27) is the energy caused by the Coulomb potential alone. It is amazing to notice that in this subsection, we treat κr as a perturbation to the Coulomb potential, while in section III.(2), we deal with the Coulomb and linear pieces as a whole potential, then the two results are the same to the order $O(\kappa^3 g^{-14})$.

For a better comparison, let us calculate the contribution from the linear term order by order in the traditional perturbation theory. The first order perturbation result can be easily obtained in the traditional method as

$$E_1 = \langle R_{1,0} | \kappa r | R_{1,0} \rangle = \frac{3\kappa}{2g^2}, \tag{28}$$

where $R_{1,0} = 2g^3 e^{-g^2 r}$.

For evaluating the second order and even higher orders of corrections to the eigen-energy, the calculation procedure in the method given by most textbooks of quantum mechanics is too complicated to carry out practical calculations. It fails because in the traditional method, all energy states including the continuous and discrete spectra must be summed over. Dalgarno and Lewis introduced a technique [7], which allows us to calculate the perturbation contributions without carrying out the tedious summation and obtain results at any given order. The

technique, in fact, is based on the hypervirial theorem [8] and all details about the technique can be found in ref.[7].

By this technique, we can immediately obtain the second and the third order corrections to the energies of the ground state of the Coulomb potential as

$$E_2 = \frac{-3}{2} \frac{\kappa^2}{g^8}, \quad E_3 = \frac{27}{4} \frac{\kappa^3}{g^{14}},$$

and this is exactly that we derived in the FLZ method.

V. Conclusion and discussion

From Secs.III and IV. where we discussed solutions for the Hellmann potential and the Cornell potential which has importance to the heavy quarkonium, and the perturbative cases in the FLZ method. First we find that for strong coupling g at the Hellmann potential the results obtained in terms of the FLZ method are very close to the numerical results, in fact the larger g is, the closer to the real solution the FLZ result is. We can conclude that the FLZ method applies perfectly for strong interactions. Moreover, this method allows us to have analytic forms for both eigenenergies and wavefunctions, so it has obvious advantages for physical analysis.

Then we use the FLZ method to calculate the energy corrections due to a linear perturbation to the harmonic oscillator and find that the result is exactly the same as the precise solution.

We employ the FLZ method to calculate the energy for the Cornell potential and pretend that the coupling is strong. Then alternatively, we treat the linear piece as a perturbation to the Coulomb piece. We calculate the correction to very high orders in the FLZ method and then we employ the Dalgarno-Lewis technique to repeat the calculation, amazingly, we find that all the three methods give the same results (precisely), even though they start from completely different points. This amazing consistence confirms the applicability of the FLZ method. Moreover, the calculations with the FLZ method are much simpler than with others and one can avoid the tedious and complicated integration and summation. The solution of both energy and wavefunction have almost-analyticity. In fact, from our pedagogical examples, one can believe that the FLZ method can apply to much more complicated perturbation terms,

such as the L-S coupling, tensor potentials and the relativistic corrections etc.

On other side, at present, the FLZ method can only effectively apply to deal with the ground state. FLZ showed [1, 2] that for a special case the method can be applied to evaluate the eigen-energy of the first excited state, but not for general cases yet. How to generalize this method to calculate excited states in general is an interesting task and should be further investigated.

The second serious drawback of the method is that the method cannot apply to the potential where only positive power terms exist and the leading order is the linear one. The reason can be understood as the following. If only the linear term exists, for example, all the equations in the (9) become trivial, so that one cannot determine E_i from them and the method fails. There could be some way to remedy this problem, and we will present some possible ways to solve in our later work.

It is also shown in our expression for the Hellmann potential, the resultant energy is related to $A - B$, which sometimes appears at denominator. As $A \rightarrow B$, the corresponding term diverges. Turning to the original expression of the Hellmann potential, as $A = B$, it just is the case of a linear term as the leading order. Because there exists an exact solution for the S-states in the case of the linear potential κr and it is the well-known Airy function, therefore the superficial divergence is associated to the problem in the FLZ method. We will further investigate this issue in our future work.

Moreover, it requires a strong coupling if one wants to have a more accurate result for a certain potential. For example, in Sec.III (2), for the Cornell potential, we pretend or assume that the coupling is strong, i.e. g is large, however, in reality, the coupling in the Cornell potential is $\alpha_{eff} = \frac{4\alpha_s}{3} \sim 0.3$ to 0.5 and not large at all. But as shown above, the real expansion parameter is μg^2 instead of g^2 , so as long as μ is large enough, μg^2 is also large and the FLZ method applies. This is the case for the $b\bar{b}$ quarkonium Υ , but does not apply to the case of J/ψ . Thus we, in general, so far cannot expect to simply calculate the charmonium ground states in this method yet.

On other side, the method has obvious advantages as discussed above, it can give solutions of both energy and wavefunction of the ground state which may be the most important subject

to study in phenomenology of particle physics, and the solutions are almost-analytic, so that provide possibility for discussing physics of the concerned problems. Moreover, the simplicity of this method for dealing with complicated potential forms is remarkable. Therefore the Friedberg-Lee-Zhao method is absolutely applicable and advantageous. Of course it is worth further studies.

Acknowledgment

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China. We would like to thank Prof. W.Q. Zhao for great help and enlightening discussions.

References

- [1] R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee and W.Q. Zhao, IL Nuovo Cimento, **A112** (1999), 1195; quant-ph/0005039.
- [2] R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee and W.Q. Zhao, quant-ph/0107015.
- [3] W.Q. Zhao, private communication.
- [4] S. Gasiorowicz, *Quantum Mechanics*; L. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics*, McGraw-Hill, 1967, New York.
- [5] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K.D.Lane and T.M.Yan, Phys. Rev. **D17** (1978) 3090.
- [6] J. Adamowski, Phys.Rev. **A31** (1985) 43; R. Hall and Q. Katatbeh, math-ph/0107015.
- [7] A.Dalgarno and J.T.Lewis, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A233** (1995)70; H. Marromatis, Ann.J. Phys. **59** (1991) 738; *Exercise in Quantum Mechanics*, Kluwer Academic Publisher 1992 (Second Revised Edition), The Netherlands.

- [8] W.Lucha and F.Schöberl Phys. Rev. **A60** (1999) 5091; Y. Ding, X. Li and P. Shen, The Proceeding of Conference "Quark Confinement and Hadron Spectra", Austria, Vienna, July, 2000.